

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 169425

62

ORIGIN SS-05

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /006 R

66618

DRAFTED BY S/S-O DMACK

APPROVED BY S/S-O:DMACK

----- 119760

P 180512Z JUL 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY ASUNCION PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BOGOTA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRASILIA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRIDGETOWN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY CARACAS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY KINGSTON PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LA PAZ PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LIMA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY MANAGUA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY MEXICO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY MONTEVIDEO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY PANAMA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY PORT AU PRINCE PRIORITY

AMEMBU SY PORT OF SPAIN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY QUITO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY SANTO DOMINGO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY TEGUCIGALPA PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 169425

EXDIS

FOL REPEAT SAN JOSE 2966 SENT ACTION SECSTATE JULY 17, 1975

QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L SAN JOSE 2966

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 169425

EXDIS/USDEL 007

EO 11652: GDS

TAGS: OCON OAS

SUBJ: SAN JOSE CONFERENCE: NEW MEXICAN DRAFT ON CUBA

REF: SAN JOSE 2932 (USDEL 004)

SAN JOSE 2944 (USDEL 006)

1. SUMMARY: DE LA COLINA OF MEXICO HAS CIRCULATED A SECOND DRAFT OF HIS RESOLUTION ON CUBA. IT REFLECTS SUGGESTIONS MADE BY VARIOUS DELEGATIONS, INCLUDING OURS. CASTILLO ARRIOLA OF GUATEMALA IS PUSHING HARD TO GET A BRIEF DELAY AND A DIFFERENT VENUE TO APPROVE THE FREEDOM OF ACTION FORMULA. (USDEL 006)
INSTRUCTIONS REQUESTED. END SUMMARY.

2. DE LA COLINA HAS DISCUSSED HIS DRAFT RESOLUTION ON FREEDOM OF ACTION ON CUBA WITH A LARGE NUMBER OF DELEGATIONS HERE AND HAS MADE A NUMBER OF DELETIONS AND CHANGES WHICH HE BELIEVES WILL MAKE IT MORE GENERALLY ACCEPTABLE. THE FOLLOWING IS OUR TRANSLATION FROM SPANISH OF THE REVISED DRAFT:

3. QUOTE: THE XVI MEETING OF CONSULTATION OF MINISTERS OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS SERVING AS ORGAN OF CONSULTATION, UNDER THE RIO TREATY,

CONSIDERING

THAT THE MEMBER STATES PARTY TO THE RIO TREATY HAVE RENewed THEIR ADHERENCE TO THE PRINCIPLES OF SOLIDARITY AND INTER-AMERICAN COOPERATION, NON-INTERVENTION AND RECIPROCAL ASSISTANCE SET FORTH IN THE RIO TREATY AND THE CHARTER OF THE ORGANIZATION, AND

DESIRING

TO PROMOTE INTER-AMERICAN RELATIONS IN THE BROADEST MANNER POSSIBLE;
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 169425

RESOLVES

I. TO SOLEMNLY REAFFIRM THE PRINCIPLE OF NON-INTERVENTION AND TO URGE THE STATES PARTY TO WATCH OVER (LHKQUE VELEN) ITS OBSERVANCE IN THE HEMISPHERE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CHARTER OF THE ORGANIZATION, TO WHICH END THEY PROCLAIM ONCE AGAIN THEIR SOLIDARITY AND REITERATE THEIR WILL FOR CONSTANT COOPERATION WITH THE AIM OF REALIZING FULLY THE PURPOSES OF A POLICY OF PEACE;

II. TO LEAVE THE STATES PARTY TO THE RIO TREATY FREE TO CONDUCT, UNILATERALLY AND ACCORDING TO THE NATIONAL POLICIES AND INTERESTS OF EACH ONE, THEIR RELATIONS WITH THE REPUBLIC OF CUBA AT THE LEVEL AND IN

THE MANNER THAT EACH STATE MAY CONSIDER APPROPRIATE, AND

III. TO TRANSMIT TO THE SECURITY COUNCIL OF THE UNITED NATIONS THE TEXT OF THIS RESOLUTION. END QUOTE.

4. DE LA COLINA HAS MADE UNEQUIVOCALLY CLEAR THAT HE DOES NOT PLAN TO TAKE ANY ACTION ON CIBA UNLESS HE HAS AT LEAST FOURTEEN CO-SPONSORS, THE TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY REQUIRED FOR SUCCESS. HE HAS BEEN EQUALLY FORTHRIGHT IN SAYING THAT THE U.S. MUST BE AMONG THE FOURTEEN CO-SPONSORS.

5. AMBASSADOR MAILLIARD HAS TOLD DE LA COLINA THAT HE WOULD TENTATIVELY AGREE TO U.S. CO-SPONSORSHIP PROVIDED THERE ARE THIRTEEN OTHER CO-SPONSORS AND PROVIDED HE COULD OBTAIN AUTHORIZATION FROM WASHINGTON.

6. DESPITE SOME OPPOSITION MENTIONED IN USDEL 006, GUATEMALA'S PROPOSAL TO DEAL FINALLY WITH THE SANCTIONS IS STILL VERY MUCH ALIVE. CASTILLO ARRIOLA HAS TOLD DE LA COLINA THE SAME THING HE TOLD US, I.E., THAT GUATEMALA WOULD PUE IN FAVOR OF A FREZQOM OF ACTION FORMULA IF IT IS DEALT WITH IN A SEPARATE MEETING IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS.

7. OUR SOUNDINGS WITH BOLIVIA AND BRQZIL HERE INDICATE THAT THEY TOO MIGHT BE BROUGHT AROUND TO VOTING IN FAVOR OF FREEDOM OF ACTION IN A SEPARATE MEETING SOON AFTER
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 169425

THE SAN JOSE CONFERENCE IS OVER, AT A DIFFERENT PLACE.

8. DE LA COLINA'S CURRENT THINKING IS, PROVIDED ALL GOES WELL WITH HIS DRAFT RESOLUTION, TO HAVE THE PERMANENT COUNCIL MEET HERE IN SAN JOSE. THE COUNCIL WOULD DEAL WITH ONLY ONE ITEM; IT WOULD CONVOKE A MEETING OF THE ORGAN OF CONSULTATION AT A GIVEN PLACE AND TIME FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF VOTING ON THE FREEDOM OF ACTION RESOLUTION (WHICH WOULD OF COURSE HAVE ENOUGH SPONSORS TO ASSURE APPROVAL).

9. COMMENT: THE MOOD HERE IS GOOD, BOTH WITH RESPECT TO THE AMENDMENT OF THE TREATY AND ON CUBA. PERU AND MEXICO, OUR CHIEF ANTAGONISTS IN THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE, HAVE BOTH GONE OUT OF THE WAY TO COOPERATE WITH US IN AN EFFORT TO RESOLVE SOME OF THE PROBLEMS WE HAVE WITH THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE DRAFT OF THE TREATY AMENDMENTS. OUR OBVIOUS GOOD WILL ON THE CUBA QUESTION HAS BEEN CRUCIAL IN SECURING THIS COOPERATION.

10. WE ARE NOT ENCOURAGING THE GUATEMALAN PROPOSAL, BUT WE TEND TO THINK IT WOULD BE WORTHWHILE TO GO ALONG WITH IT IF IT MEANS APPROVAL BY 16 OR 17 VOTES RATHER THAN A MERE 14.

11. ACTION. REQUEST AUTHORITY, IF CONSENSUS DEVELOPS,

A. TO CO-SPONSOR MEXICAN RESOLUTION PROVIDED AND ONLY PROVIDED THERE ARE AT LEAST THIRTEEN OTHER CO-SPONSORS.

B. TO AGREE TO THE GUATEMALAN PROPOSAL TO CALL A MEETING OF THE PERMANENT COUNCIL HERE IN SAN CSE TO CONVOKE THE ORGAN QD CONSULTATION UNDER THE RIO TREATY (AT A SPECIFIED TIME AND PLACE ROUGHLY TWO WEEKS, PROBABLY IN TRINIDAD) FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF ADOPTING THE MEXICAN RESOLUTION CO-SPONSORED BY FOURTEEN OR MORE STATES FAVORABLE TO THE RIO TREATY.

DEPARTMENT PLEASE PASS ALL OAS CAPITALS. TOB WAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 169425

UNQUOTE. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: AGREEMENTS, EMBARGOES, MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 18 JUL 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE169425
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: S/S-O DMACK
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D750249-0432
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197507106/baaaappw.tel
Line Count: 187
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 03 SEP 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <03 SEP 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <17 DEC 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: n/a
TAGS: OCON, PFOR, OAS
To: ASUNCION
BOGOTA
BRASILIA
BRIDGETOWN
BUENOS AIRES
CARACAS

GUATEMALA
KINGSTON
LA PAZ
LIMA
MANAGUA
MEXICO
MONTEVIDEO
PANAMA
PORT AU PRINCE
AMEMBUSHY PORT OF SPAIN
QUITO
SANTIAGO
SANTO DOMINGO
TEGUCIGALPA
Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006